



ICANN Registry Request Service

Ticket ID: D4B4F-7D9Y4

Registry Name: VeriSign, Inc,

gTLD: .COM, .NET, .NAME

Status: ICANN Review

Status Date: 2009-06-25 23:05:40

Print Date: 2009-06-25 23:05:45

Proposed Service

Name of Proposed Service:

Registry Lock Service - com/net

Technical description of Proposed Service:

Background:

Registrars have periodically requested that VeriSign place certain domain names on registry lock status codes to help protect against accidental or inadvertent modifications or deletions that would affect their customer's most high profile or valuable domain names.

The Extensible Provisioning Protocol ("EPP") specifies both client (registrar) and server (registry) status codes that are consistent with the intent to prevent registry changes (i.e., a Delete, Transfer and/or Update) that were not intended by the registrant. Many registrars currently use the client status codes and have requested the ability to add server status codes as an additional layer of protection.

The EPP server status codes that would be applicable for domain names include (i) serverUpdateProhibited, (ii) serverDeleteProhibited, and (iii) serverTransferProhibited. These statuses may be applied individually or in combination.

The EPP also enables setting Host (name server) status codes to prevent deleting or renaming a host or modifying its IP addresses. Setting Host status codes at the registry would reduce the risk of inadvertent disruption of the DNS resolution for domain names associated with locked name servers.

Consultation

Please describe with specificity your consultations with the community, experts and or others. What were the quantity, nature and content of the consultations?:

a. If the registry is a sponsored TLD, what were the nature and content of these consultations with the sponsored TLD community?:



ICANN Registry Request Service

Ticket ID: D4B4F-7D9Y4

Registry Name: VeriSign, Inc,

gTLD: .COM, .NET, .NAME

Status: ICANN Review

Status Date: 2009-06-25 23:05:40

Print Date: 2009-06-25 23:05:45

Not Applicable

b. Were consultations with gTLD registrars or the registrar constituency appropriate? Which registrars were consulted? What were the nature and content of the consultation?:

VeriSign developed the concept for the Registry Lock Service based on discussions with several registrars who represent diverse market segments. VeriSign discussed the concept for the Registry Lock Service during several VeriSign "Registrar Days" regional registrar events. Since VeriSign received a positive response from the registrar community related to the concept for the Registry Lock Service, VeriSign launched a beta of the service in Q4 2008. To date, VeriSign has received positive feedback from beta participants.

c. Were consultations with other constituency groups appropriate? Which groups were consulted? What were the nature and content of these consultations?:

Not Applicable

d. Were consultations with end users appropriate? Which groups were consulted? What were the nature and content of these consultations?:

As noted in (b) above, VeriSign consulted with the registrar community. In addition, beta participants have relayed positive feedback from their registrants to VeriSign. Such feedback indicates that this service will be of significant value to registrants.

e. Who would endorse the introduction of this service? What were the nature and content of these consultations?:

Registrars and their registrants with domain names that are highly visible and essential to their business continuity or brand protection would endorse the introduction of the Registry Lock Service.

f. Who would object the introduction of this service? What were(or would be) the nature and content of these consultations?:



ICANN Registry Request Service

Ticket ID: D4B4F-7D9Y4

Registry Name: VeriSign, Inc,

gTLD: .COM, .NET, .NAME

Status: ICANN Review

Status Date: 2009-06-25 23:05:40

Print Date: 2009-06-25 23:05:45

To date, no one has objected to the introduction of the Domain /Host Protect Service. It is unlikely that any objections would be raised since this service will be offered to all registrars but will be an optional, value-add service.

Timeline

Please describe the timeline for implementation of the proposed new registry service:

VeriSign intends to implement the Registry Lock Service within three (3) months of RSEP approval. VeriSign will (i) sunset the beta service upon commercial availability of the Registry Lock Service; and (ii) offer beta participants, along with all registrars for .com and .net, with the choice to opt-in to the commercial service offering.

Business Description

Describe how the Proposed Service will be offered:

The Extensible Provisioning Protocol ("EPP") specifies both client (registrar) and server (registry) status codes that are consistent with the intent to prevent registry changes (i.e., a Delete, Transfer and/or Update) that were not intended by the registrant. This means that today, a registrar may place a series of EPP client status codes on the domain name record for various purposes such as restricting access to make updates, transfers, and deletes.

As previously described, the Registry Lock Service will allow registrars to offer server-level protection to the domain name and/or Name Server records for their registrants.

The Registry Lock Service is designed to be a low volume/high value service that will be used in conjunction with a Registrar's proprietary security measures to bring a greater level of security to registrants' domain names and help mitigate the potential for domain name hijacking, inadvertent or unintended deletions, transfers and/or updates.

The Registry Lock Service is made up of two components:

- 1. A registrar must provide VeriSign with a list of the domain names to be placed on any/all of the server status codes. During the term of the service agreement, a Registrar may add domain names to be placed on any/all of the server status codes and/or remove domain names currently placed on any/all of the server status codes. Through a manual process, VeriSign will then authenticate that the registrar submitting the list of domain names to be placed on any/all of the server*



ICANN Registry Request Service

Ticket ID: D4B4F-7D9Y4

Registry Name: VeriSign, Inc,

gTLD: .COM, .NET, .NAME

Status: ICANN Review

Status Date: 2009-06-25 23:05:40

Print Date: 2009-06-25 23:05:45

status codes is the registrar-of-record for such domain names.

2. If changes (including updates, deletes, transfers) are required on a domain name(s) placed on a server status code(s), VeriSign will follow a secure, authenticated process which includes, among other things, a request from an authorized individual at the registrar for VeriSign to remove the specific registry status code(s), validation of the authorized individual by VeriSign, removal of the specified server status code(s), completion by registrar of the desired change(s), and a request from the authorized individual at the registrar to reinstate the server status code(s) on the domain name(s). This process is designed to complement the automated transaction processing through the Shared Registration System using independent authentication by trusted registry experts.

Fees:

VeriSign intends to charge registrars based on the market value of the Registry Lock Service. VeriSign expects to offer a tiered pricing model with each tier having an annual fee based on per domain/host and the number of domain names to be placed on server status code(s).

Here is an example of potential pricing tiers:

- o 1 - 99 domain names and/or hosts: \$100 per domain name per year*
- o 100 - 499 domain names and/or hosts: \$70 per domain name per/year*
- o 500 - 2,499 domains and/or hosts: \$50per domain name per year*
- o >2,500 domains and/or hosts: fees to be negotiated by VeriSign and registrar.*

Describe quality assurance plan or testing of Proposed Service:

VeriSign has demonstrated the ability to deliver scalable and reliable registry services. The lessons learned from the beta service are being applied to the testing/scalability requirements for the commercial offering, including the processes described above.

Please list any relevant RFCs or White Papers on the proposed service and explain how those papers are relevant.:

Subsequent to the initial registration process, the provisioning protocols currently implemented will apply. RFC 4931-



ICANN Registry Request Service

Ticket ID: D4B4F-7D9Y4

Registry Name: VeriSign, Inc,

gTLD: .COM, .NET, .NAME

Status: ICANN Review

Status Date: 2009-06-25 23:05:40

Print Date: 2009-06-25 23:05:45

Extensible Provisioning Protocol (EPP) Domain Name Mapping and RFC 4932 - Extensible Provisioning Protocol (EPP) Host Mapping will describe the EPP Statuses utilized in the Registry Lock Service.

All RFC's that apply include:

RFC 4930 Extensible Provisioning Protocol (EPP)

RFC 4931 Extensible Provisioning Protocol (EPP) Domain Name Mapping

RFC 4932 Extensible Provisioning Protocol (EPP) Host Mapping

RFC 4934 Extensible Provisioning Protocol (EPP) Transport over TCP

Contractual Provisions

List the relevant contractual provisions impacted by the Proposed Service:

No contraction provisions will be impacted.

What effect, if any, will the Proposed Service have on the reporting of data to ICANN:

None

What effect, if any, will the Proposed Service have on the Whois?:

None

Contract Amendments

Please describe or provide the necessary contractual amendments for the proposed service:

No contractual amendments will be required



ICANN Registry Request Service

Ticket ID: D4B4F-7D9Y4

Registry Name: VeriSign, Inc,

gTLD: .COM, .NET, .NAME

Status: ICANN Review

Status Date: 2009-06-25 23:05:40

Print Date: 2009-06-25 23:05:45

Benefits of Service

Describe the benefits of the Proposed Service:

The Registry Lock Service is intended to meet the needs of registrars and their registrants who would like to receive the highest level of domain name and host record protection.

Competition

Do you believe your proposed new Registry Service would have any positive or negative effects on competition? If so, please explain.:

The Registry Lock Service would have no negative effects on competition. To the contrary, VeriSign believes that this service will enhance the protection services currently offered in the market place, allow registrars to market a new services related to domain name and host protection, better enable registrars to differentiate their services and compete more effectively, and give consumers more choices.

How would you define the markets in which your proposed Registry Service would compete?:

The Registry Lock Service will be attractive to registrars in the brand management segment of the market but will also be attractive as a value-add service to registrars who serve registrants with valuable, highly visible domain names as well.

What companies/entities provide services or products that are similar in substance or effect to your proposed Registry Service?:

The Registry Lock Service will complement existing protection services currently offered by registrars, which is described above, and will enable these registrars to enhance their service offerings.

Other TLD registry operators may choose to offer server-level protection services.

In view of your status as a registry operator, would the introduction of your proposed Registry Service potentially



ICANN Registry Request Service

Ticket ID: D4B4F-7D9Y4

Registry Name: VeriSign, Inc,

gTLD: .COM, .NET, .NAME

Status: ICANN Review

Status Date: 2009-06-25 23:05:40

Print Date: 2009-06-25 23:05:45

impair the ability of other companies/entities that provide similar products or services to compete?:

No. Registrars may continue to develop their own protection services and may continue to offer such services with or without the proposed Registry Lock Protect Service. The Registry Lock Service is intended to complement registrars' protection services.

Do you propose to work with a vendor or contractor to provide the proposed Registry Service? If so, what is the name of the vendor/contractor, and describe the nature of the services the vendor/contractor would provide.:

No.

Have you communicated with any of the entities whose products or services might be affected by the introduction of your proposed Registry Service? If so, please describe the communications.:

VeriSign has communicated with registrars as described in (b) above. Many registrars have indicated that the Registry Lock Service will enhance current account and domain protection efforts, and potentially allow them to create new services to offer to their registrants.

Do you have any documents that address the possible effects on competition of your proposed Registry Service? If so, please submit them with your application. (ICANN will keep the documents confidential).:

VeriSign has no documents to submit.

Security and Stability

Does the proposed service alter the storage and input of Registry Data?:

No.



ICANN Registry Request Service

Ticket ID: D4B4F-7D9Y4

Registry Name: VeriSign, Inc,

gTLD: .COM, .NET, .NAME

Status: ICANN Review

Status Date: 2009-06-25 23:05:40

Print Date: 2009-06-25 23:05:45

Please explain how the proposed service will affect the throughput, response time, consistency or coherence of responses to Internet servers or end systems:

The Registry Lock Service will have no impact on throughput, response time, consistency or coherence of the responses to Internet servers or end systems.

Have technical concerns been raised about the proposed service, and if so, how do you intend to address those concerns?:

No.

Other Issues

Are there any Intellectual Property considerations raised by the Proposed Service:

VeriSign is not aware of any intellectual property considerations.

Does the proposed service contain intellectual property exclusive to your gTLD registry?:

(1) Trademark or similar rights may exist or arise with respect to trade names or terminology used in connection with the proposed service. (2) Copyright protection may exist or arise in connection with code written or materials created in connection with the proposed service. (3) Certain information or processes related to the service may be confidential to VeriSign and/or subject to trade secret protection. (4) VeriSign is not aware of the issuance of any patents by any party with respect to the service.

List Disclaimers provided to potential customers regarding the Proposed Service:



ICANN Registry Request Service

Ticket ID: D4B4F-7D9Y4

Registry Name: VeriSign, Inc,

gTLD: .COM, .NET, .NAME

Status: ICANN Review

Status Date: 2009-06-25 23:05:40

Print Date: 2009-06-25 23:05:45

VeriSign intends to include industry standard disclaimers, such as a disclaimer of all warranties, in the service agreement.

Any other relevant information to include with this request:

None.