1 2 3 4 5	RONALD L. JOHNSTON (State Bar No. 12 LAURENCE J. HUTT (State Bar No. 13 SUZANNE V. WILSON (State Bar No. 14 JAMES S. BLACKBURN (State Bar No. 15 ARNOLD & PORTER LLP 1900 Avenue of the Stars, 17th Floor Los Angeles, California 90067-4408 Telephone: (310) 552-2500 Facsimile: (310) 552-1191	o. 057418) 66269) 152399) o. 169134)
6 7	Attorneys for Defendant VERISIGN, INC.	
8		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11		
12	REGISTERSITE.COM, an Assumed Name of ABR PRODUCTS INC., a	Case No. CV 04-1368 ABC (CWx)
13	Name of ABR PRODUCTS INC., a New York Corporation, et al.,	DECLARATION OF BARBARA
14	Plaintiffs,) KNIGHT IN SUPPORT OF MOTION BY DEFENDANT
15	v.	VERISIGN, INC. TO DISMISS PLAINTIFFS' ELEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF FOR
16	INTERNET CORPORATION FOR ASSIGNED NAMES AND	IMPROPER VENUE
17	NUMBERS, a California corporation; VERISIGN, INC., a Delaware	Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(3)]
18	Corporation; NETWORK SOLUTIONS, INC., a Delaware	Date: July 12, 2004 Time: 10:00 a.m.
19	Corporation; ENOM, INC., a Washington Corporation; ENOM	Courtroom: Room 680 – Roybal Bldg Judge: Hon. Audrey B. Collins
20	FOREIGN HOLDINGS CORPORATION, a Washington	Notice of Motion and Motion and
21	Corporation; and DOES 1-10, inclusive,	Memorandum of Points andAuthorities filed concurrently
22	Defendants.	herewith]
23)
24		
25		
26		
27		

1

- 3
- 4 5
- 6 7
- 8 9
- 10 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15 16
- 17
- 18
- 19 20
- 21
- 22
- 23 24
- 25 26
- 27
- 28

- 1. I have personal knowledge of the facts stated in this Declaration, and, if called and sworn as a witness, I could and would testify competently thereto.
- 2. I currently am a project manager for VeriSign, Inc. ("VeriSign"). Until recently, I was a Compliance Officer for the VeriSign ComNet Registry. I work out of VeriSign's offices in Dulles, Virginia.
- 3. Based on my former responsibilities as a Compliance Officer for VeriSign, I am familiar with VeriSign's operation of the registry for the .com and .net top level domains. I am also familiar with VeriSign's agreements with registrars of second level domain names within the .com and .net top level domains. As a normal part of my duties and responsibilities for VeriSign, I have access to those agreements and to VeriSign's related business records.
- 4. VeriSign enters into a written agreement with each registrar that seeks to register second level domain names within the .com and .net top level domains. This agreement is known as the "Registry-Registrar Agreement" and its terms are essentially the same for all registrars. The only parties to the Registry-Registrar Agreement are the registrar and VeriSign, as the operator of the registry for the .com and .net top level domains.
- 5. The .com and .net registries are located in, and operated by VeriSign from, its offices in Dulles, Virginia. Commands by registrars, for example, to register, renew, and delete second level domain names in the .com and .net top level domains are received electronically by VeriSign in Dulles, Virginia, and are automatically effectuated in the .com and .net registries located there.
- 6. I have reviewed Exhibit A to the First Amended Complaint in this action, which Plaintiffs allege is the form Registry-Registrar Agreement between each of them and VeriSign. VeriSign has entered into the same, or essentially the same, .com and .net Registry-Registrar Agreement with approximately 175 registrars. These

registrars are located both across the United States and in countries around the world. A listing of all ICANN-accredited registrars appears on ICANN's public website at the address "www.icann.org/registrars/accredited-list.html." VeriSign has entered into a Registry-Registrar Agreement with nearly all of these registrars, including each of the Plaintiffs. VeriSign could not possibly appear in each state and in numerous countries throughout the world to defend itself on every claim that could conceivably be brought by a registrar relating to the Registry-Registry Agreement. That is one reason for, and purpose behind, the forum selection clause contained in the Agreement.

- 7. Moreover, the parties to the Registry-Registrar Agreement, including both registrars and VeriSign, have a strong interest in maximizing certainty and uniformity with respect to interpretation and enforcement of the Agreement. In that way, they can be assured that the uniform provisions of the Agreement are applied uniformly, regardless of which particular registrar may be involved and regardless of where that registrar may happen to be located.
- 8. To that end, the Registry-Registrar Agreement contains a forum selection clause in paragraph 6.7. That clause specifies that any legal action relating to the Agreement, or to the enforcement of any of its provisions, will be commenced in a state or federal court in the Eastern District of Virginia. The choice of law provision in the Agreement also specifies that the Agreement will be construed and governed in accordance with the internal laws of the State of Virginia.

I declare under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the United States of America, that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on May 27, 2004 in Dulles, Virginia.

Karbara Kright

Barbara Knight