



Article #: 30020	Updated Date: 16 December 2011
Article Name: Evaluation Question #20: Community-Based Designation	AGB Reference: Section 1.2.3
Version #: v02	Category: Supplemental Notes

[Supplemental Notes](#)

[Best Practice Suggestions](#)

1. SUPPLEMENTAL NOTES:

16 December 2011

1.1 While applicants that elect community priority evaluation may be asked to provide additional information, evaluation panels are under no obligation to do so. Applicants should ensure that the application submitted address all criteria relevant to community priority evaluation.

1.2 While evaluation panels may consult publicly available information, and conduct independent research during the evaluation process, they are under no obligation to do so. Applicants should ensure that the application submitted address all criteria relevant to community priority evaluation.

2. BEST PRACTICE SUGGESTIONS:

15 November 2011

2.1 Applicants should read each evaluation question in its entirety, including the notes, criteria, and scoring text. The answer should address all criteria specified, and include detailed rationale demonstrating a thorough understanding of the criteria (i.e., show your work).

2.2 If acronyms are used, applicants should spell out the first instance, even if the acronyms represent a common term/product/service.

DISCLAIMER: This material is for information only and does not represent of all requirements and criteria that the applicant must satisfy. ICANN is not providing legal, financial, business or any other kind of advice. This material does not represent a modification to the Applicant Guidebook, or the terms and conditions to the new gTLD program. This material also does not represent a waiver of any ICANN policy, procedure or agreement. In the event that any information provided in this material appears to be inconsistent with any information published elsewhere by ICANN, please do not rely on this material without confirmation or clarification from ICANN.